

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,956	02/26/2002	John W. Putnam	02-216	7018	
75	12/26/2002				
Barry L. Kelm			EXAMI	NER	
Bachman & LaPointe, P.C.			GORR, RACHEL F		
Suite 1201			GUKK, KA	CHEL F	
900 Chapel Stre	et				
New Haven, CT	06510-2802		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	1:	
			DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
P.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/082,956	PUTNAM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rachel Gorr	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replicit NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			ne merits is
Disposition of Claims	and the same		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-7 is/are pending in the application (a) 20th and a section (a) and a section (b) and a section (b) and a section (c) a			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	



Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No. 10/082,956	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination PUTNAM ET AL.	Reexamination		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Rachel Gorr	1711 Page 1 of 1			

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS				
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,420,509	07-2002	Putnam et al.	
	В	US-4,910,279	03-1990	Gillis et al.	
	С	US-5,223,599	06-1993	Gajewski	
	D	US-4,934,425	06-1990	Gajewski	
	Ε	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т				_	

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	H. Ulrich; Chemistry and Technology of Isocyanates; 1996; page368

Application/Control Number: 10/082,956 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1, 4-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,420,509. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of this application are broader in scope than the narrow claims of the patent. A broader genus is obvious over a narrower species.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

Application/Control Number: 10/082,956

VOOTRIOI Namber. 10/002,50

Art Unit: 1711

preferred diisocyanates for making the prepolymers (col. 5, line 19). In the examples, he used toluene diisocyanate (TDI).

- 6. Ulrich teaches that the current trend is to replace TDI with MDI in polyurethanes because of the decreased volatility of MDI, which would mean better safety and less health risks.
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose MDI to make Gajewski's prepolymers because this is the current trend in the industry.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gillis.

Gillis discloses, in example PC-1A of the table of col. 21, a polyurethane made from a blend of MDI/polyether prepolymers reacted with a blend of a diamine and a blocked diamine. The prepolymer blend has an NCO content of 13.4 %, which is "about" the same as that specified in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,956 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R.G.

December 23, 2002